

Stochastic Stability for Flows with Smooth Invariant Measures

Sergiu Aizicovici and Todd Young
 Department of Mathematics
 Ohio University, Ohio, USA
 aizicovs@ohio.edu
 youngt@ohio.edu

December 2, 2011

Abstract

We study the notion of stochastic stability with respect to diffusive perturbations for flows with smooth invariant measures. We investigate the question fully for non-singular flows on the circle. We also show that volume-preserving flows are stochastically stable with respect to perturbations that are associated with homogeneous diffusions.

Published in *Libertas Mathematica* **30** (2010), 71-79.

1 Introduction

The notion of stochastic stability of the invariant measures of a continuous dynamical system was formulated as early as 1933 by Pontryagin, Andronov and Vitt [17] and Bernstein [4]. They solved the problem completely for flows on the real line that possess a globally attracting set of equilibrium points. Such flows of course are the gradients of some potential function. Freidlin and Wentzell [11] extended the classical work to \mathbb{R}^n , again with globally attracting equilibrium points, by defining dynamically a quasi-potential associated with the flow. In the case of a unique equilibrium, stochastic stability is proved and a formula for the perturbed invariant measure was produced in terms of the quasi-potential. Stochastic stability for flow on a compact manifold was discussed in [14], [16] and extended to the case that the maximal invariant set of the flow consists of a finite number of hyperbolic basic sets. Since then, it seems that very little attention has been given to this problem.

In the meantime, stochastic stability of invariant measures has been a topic of continuing interest and surprising difficulty for discrete time dynamical systems theory. The main directions where progress has been made are: structurally stable systems [15], hyperbolic systems [13], [15], [18] and unimodal maps [3], [2].

In this note we study the question of stochastic stability for the (overlooked) case of non-singular flow on the circle and for a volume preserving flow on a manifold.

We will let $h : M \rightarrow TM$ be a smooth vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold M with the associated differential equation in local coordinates:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = h(x). \quad (1.1)$$

By standard results, h generates a smooth, global solution flow $\Phi^t : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$. All equations in this note will be assumed to be written in local coordinates.

We will denote by d the distance on M , by \mathcal{B} the Borel σ -algebra on M , by m the normalized Riemannian volume (Lebesgue measure) on M , and by \mathcal{M} the space of Borel probability measures on M with the weak topology. We will use \rightarrow to denote weak convergence in \mathcal{M} .

Consider a vector field h for which there is a unique physical, ergodic measure $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. An ergodic measure μ_0 is *physical* if for m -a.e. $x \in M$, $\nu_{x,T} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \delta_{\Phi^t(x)} \rightarrow \mu_0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. for all $\phi \in C^0(M)$ we have $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \phi(\Phi^t(x)) \rightarrow \int \phi d\mu_0$. We will also assume that μ_0 is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the manifold and has a smooth density function ρ_0 .

By *stochastic stability* we mean stability of μ_0 under small stochastic perturbations of ϕ^t , which we now define. Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dx = h(x) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} \gamma(x) \circ dW, \quad (1.2)$$

which we interpret as a Stratanovich integral equation on the tangent space of the manifold M . Equation (1.2) is associated with a diffusion process on M . For general background on diffusions on a manifold see for instance [12, Chp. V], [8] or [9]. We call (1.2) a small stochastic perturbation of (1.1). A class of perturbations refers to a collection of equations of the form (1.2) with $\gamma(x)$ chosen from some class of nonsingular matrix fields. By stochastic stability with respect to a class of small stochastic perturbations, we mean that each flow defined by (1.2) within the class has a unique ergodic stationary measure μ_ϵ and $\mu_\epsilon \rightarrow \mu_0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Note that any weak limit point μ of the set $\{\mu_\epsilon\}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is called a *zero-noise limit* measure for h . It is well-known that all zero-noise limit measures are invariant under h [14]. See also [6] for a recent related work using zero-noise limits.

We note here that no counterexamples to stochastically stability for physical ergodic measures of dynamical systems, except one in which the “random” perturbations have a distinctly non-random character [1].

By *standard diffusion* we mean for each x , $\gamma(x)$ is a multiple of the identity matrix.

Also note that we could consider larger classes of perturbations that include both stochastic and deterministic perturbations by adding a term $\epsilon g dt$ to the right hand side of (1.2), where g is selected from some class of vector fields.

We can associate with (1.1) and (1.2) a generator

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon = h \cdot \nabla + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Gamma : \nabla \nabla \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Gamma(z) = \gamma(x)\gamma(x)^T$ and “:” denotes the double inner product of matrices (the inner product consistent with the Frobenius norm). The formal L^2 adjoint of \mathcal{L} is

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon^* = -\nabla \cdot h + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot \Gamma. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that $\Gamma(x)$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix field. For standard diffusion, $\Gamma(x)$ is the identity matrix and \mathcal{L}_ϵ and \mathcal{L}_ϵ^* reduce to:

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon = h \cdot \nabla + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\epsilon^* = -\nabla \cdot h + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Delta. \quad (1.5)$$

Here Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M .

Probability density functions evolve under (1.2) via the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_\epsilon^* \rho. \quad (1.6)$$

(For $\epsilon = 0$ this is usually called the Liouville equation.) Suppose that μ_0 is an invariant measure for (1.1) and the density ρ_0 of μ_0 is a C^2 function. Then $\mathcal{L}_0^* \rho_0 = 0$. Further, if ρ_ϵ is the density of a stationary measure μ_ϵ for (1.2), then

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon^* \rho_\epsilon = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Since M is compact and Γ is positive definite, the density ρ_ϵ for the perturbed system (1.2) exists [7] and it is unique, nonzero and smooth [12, Prop. 5.4.5].

Now we wish to treat the behaviour of ρ_ϵ as a perturbation problem. Define $r_\epsilon(x) = \rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)$ so that

$$\rho_\epsilon = \rho_0 + r_\epsilon. \quad (1.8)$$

It follows that r_ϵ exists, is unique and is smooth because ρ_ϵ exists and is unique and smooth, and, ρ_0 is unique and smooth by assumption. Since $\int \rho_\epsilon = 1$, then any solution r_ϵ must satisfy: $\int r_\epsilon = 0$.

Substitution into (1.7) gives a perturbed elliptic equation with a constraint:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot (\Gamma r_\epsilon) - \nabla \cdot (h r_\epsilon) = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot (\Gamma \rho_0), \quad \text{subject to} \quad \int r_\epsilon = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

In the case of standard diffusion, this simplifies to:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \Delta r_\epsilon = \nabla \cdot (h r_\epsilon) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Delta \rho_0, \quad \text{subject to} \quad \int r_\epsilon = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

To complete this approach we need only show that r_ϵ goes to zero as ϵ goes to zero.

Note:

Instead of (1.8) we could suppose that ρ_ϵ has the form

$$\rho_\epsilon(x) = \rho_0(x) + \epsilon u(x) + r_\epsilon(x) \quad (1.11)$$

for some smooth function $u(x)$ and remainder $r_\epsilon(x)$ of order $o(\epsilon)$. However, this is a stronger assumption than we need and may impose unnecessary constraints. In one dimension one can solve directly for u , but in higher dimensions a solution seems unlikely.

2 Two special cases

In this section we point out that stochastic stability with respect to standard diffusion follows easily from known results in two extreme cases, volume preserving flows and gradient flows.

First suppose that h is volume preserving and the perturbation is by standard diffusion. According to [12, Theorem 5.4.6] the measure cm (c constant) is an invariant measure of a diffusion generated by $\Delta + h$ if and only if h is volume preserving. Rescaling (1.2) by $1/\epsilon$ implies that the volume itself is the invariant measure of the perturbed process for any $\epsilon > 0$. This gives us the following:

Proposition 2.1 *If h is volume-preserving and the volume, m , is ergodic, then m is stochastically stable under standard diffusion.*

We will extend this result slightly to the case of homogeneous diffusions in § 4.

Secondly, consider the case that h is a gradient flow, i.e., there exists a smooth, real-valued function H on M such that $h = -\text{grad } H$. This case is the direct generalization of the early results [17], [4] and a special case of result in [11]. In [12, Theorem 5.4.6] we find that if $h = -\text{grad } H$ then the diffusion generated by $\Delta + h$ has as its invariant measures multiples of $\exp(-2H(x)) dx$. Rescaling by $1/\epsilon$ implies that the invariant measure for 1.2 is:

$$c_\epsilon e^{-2H(x)/\epsilon} dx. \quad (2.1)$$

This measure converges as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to a measure supported on the set of minimum points of the function $H(x)$. In the simplest case we have:

Proposition 2.2 *If h is a gradient flow with potential H , and H has a unique minimum point, then the delta measure on this point is stochastically stable.*

If there is not a unique minimum, then the delta measure at each of the minimum points is ergodic and any linear combination of them is (nonergodic) invariant. Freidlin has studied the asymptotics of transitions between the local minima as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ [10].

3 Flow on a Circle

Suppose $M = \mathbb{T}^1$ and h is smooth and nonzero. Then h generates a smooth flow and possess a smooth ergodic measure supported on the entire circle, given by the density $\rho_0(x) = c/h(x)$.

On the circle, (1.9) becomes:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} (\Gamma r_\epsilon)'' - (hr_\epsilon)' = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} (\Gamma \rho_0)'' \quad \text{subject to} \quad \int r_\epsilon = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

For $\epsilon = 0$, note that there is a one dimensional space of solutions of (3.1); $r_0 = c/h(x)$ is a solution for any constant c . If we restrict to $\int r_0 = 0$, then $r_0 \equiv 0$ is the unique solution. As stated before, the existence, uniqueness and smoothness of the solution r_ϵ of (3.1) follow from the assumptions on ρ_0 and the existence, uniqueness and smoothness of ρ_ϵ . However, there is also an elementary proof of these facts in this case.

Assume that h , ρ_0 and Γ are all at least C^2 smooth on the circle. Then r_ϵ is a solution of (3.1) if and only if it is a solution of

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} (\Gamma r_\epsilon)' - hr_\epsilon = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} (\Gamma \rho_0)' + C_\epsilon, \quad (3.2)$$

for some constant C_ϵ .

Proposition 3.1 *If r_ϵ is a solution of (3.1), then r_ϵ converges to zero in the L^2 norm as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof:

We will assume without loss of generality that $h(x) > 0$ in local coordinates. Let r_ϵ be a solution of (3.1) subject to $\int r = 0$. Multiplying equation (3.2) by r_ϵ and integrating over \mathbb{T}^1 we have:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma r_\epsilon)' r_\epsilon dx - \int hr_\epsilon^2 dx = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma \rho_0)' r_\epsilon dx + \int C_\epsilon r_\epsilon dx.$$

Here and subsequently, \int will mean integration over the circle, \mathbb{T}^1 . Note first that the final integral $\int C_\epsilon r_\epsilon dx$ is zero by constraint. Rearranging and successively integrating by parts we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int hr_\epsilon^2 dx &= -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int \Gamma r_\epsilon r_\epsilon' dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma \rho_0)' r_\epsilon dx \\ &= -\frac{\epsilon}{4} \int \Gamma \frac{d}{dx} r_\epsilon^2 dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma \rho_0)' r_\epsilon dx \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int \Gamma' r_\epsilon^2 dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma \rho_0)' r_\epsilon dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\int (h - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \Gamma') r_\epsilon^2 dx = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma \rho_0)' r_\epsilon dx.$$

Denote $\alpha = \min h$. In the case that Γ is not identically constant, note that we have $\max(\Gamma') > 0$ and so

$$h - \frac{\epsilon}{4}\Gamma' > \alpha/2 \quad (3.3)$$

provided that $\epsilon < 2\alpha/\max(\Gamma')$. (If Γ is identically constant, then (3.3) holds for any ϵ .) Therefore,

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \int r_\epsilon^2 dx \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int |(\Gamma\rho_0)'r_\epsilon| dx.$$

Applying the Schwartz inequality to the last integral we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \|r_\epsilon\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|(\Gamma\rho_0)'\|_2 \|r_\epsilon\|_2.$$

or

$$\|r_\epsilon\|_2 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \|(\Gamma\rho_0)'\|_2. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus we have in fact that $\|r_\epsilon\|_2 = O(\epsilon)$. \square

Next we show that $r'_\epsilon = O(\epsilon)$ in the L^2 norm. Multiplying equation (3.1) by r'_ϵ and integrating over \mathbb{T}^1 we have:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma r_\epsilon)'' r'_\epsilon dx - \int (h r_\epsilon)' r'_\epsilon dx = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma\rho_0)'' r'_\epsilon dx.$$

Expanding the derivatives and integrating by parts we obtain:

$$\int (h - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}\Gamma')(r'_\epsilon)^2 dx = - \int (h' - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\Gamma'') r_\epsilon r'_\epsilon dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (\Gamma\rho_0)'' r'_\epsilon dx.$$

Note that for $\epsilon < 2\alpha/(3\max \Gamma')$ (or any ϵ in the case $\Gamma' \equiv 0$) we have $h - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}\Gamma' > \alpha/2$, and so

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \int (r'_\epsilon)^2 dx \leq \int |h' - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\Gamma''| r_\epsilon r'_\epsilon dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int |(\Gamma\rho_0)'' r'_\epsilon| dx.$$

Let

$$\beta = \max(|h'| + \frac{\alpha}{3\max \Gamma'} |\Gamma''|).$$

(In the case $\Gamma' \equiv 0$, we may take $\beta = \max(h')$. Then using the Schwartz inequality, we obtain:

$$\|r'_\epsilon\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2\beta}{\alpha} \|r_\epsilon\|_2 \|r'_\epsilon\|_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \|(\Gamma\rho_0)''\|_2 \|r'_\epsilon\|_2. \quad (3.5)$$

Using (3.4) we have:

$$\|r'_\epsilon\|_2 \leq \epsilon \left(\frac{2\beta}{\alpha^2} \|(\Gamma\rho_0)'\|_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|(\Gamma\rho_0)''\|_2 \right).$$

Thus we have:

Proposition 3.2 *As ϵ goes to zero, $\|r'_\epsilon\|_2$ is of order epsilon.*

Now, by the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in one dimension [5, p. 146.], the L^∞ norm of r_ϵ is also of order $O(\epsilon)$. (An elementary proof of this also exists in this case.) Since r_ϵ is smooth and the circle is compact, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.3 *The solution r_ϵ of (3.1) converges to zero uniformly as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

In terms of Stochastic Stability we have shown the following result.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $h \in C^1$ be a nonsingular flow on the circle with an absolutely continuous invariant measure μ_0 with density $\rho_0 \in C^2$. Then μ_0 is stochastically stable with respect to any perturbations in the class C^2 .*

4 Volume-Preserving Flows

Next consider smooth vector fields h that preserve volume, thus $\nabla \cdot h = 0$. If volume is also ergodic for the flow defined by h , then the constant multiples of the volume are the only absolutely continuous invariant measures.

In this case (1.9) becomes:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot (\Gamma r_\epsilon) - \nabla \cdot (h r_\epsilon) = -\frac{\epsilon c}{2} \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot \Gamma, \quad \text{subject to } \int r_\epsilon = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

We note that $\nabla \cdot h = 0$ implies:

$$\nabla \cdot (h r_\epsilon) = h \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon$$

If Γ is a multiple of the identity, or, if Γ is constant with respect to x (homogeneous), then (4.1) simplifies to:

$$\nabla \cdot (h r_\epsilon) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Delta r_\epsilon, \quad \text{subject to } \int r_\epsilon = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Proposition 4.1 *The only smooth solution of (4.2) is $r_\epsilon \equiv 0$.*

Proof: Multiplying both sides of the equation by r_ϵ and integrating we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int (h \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon) r_\epsilon &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int r_\epsilon \Delta r_\epsilon \\ \int (h r_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon &= -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int |\nabla r_\epsilon|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, r_ϵ is a smooth solution of (4.2) if and only if there is a smooth divergence-free vector field v_ϵ such that

$$h r_\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \nabla r_\epsilon + v_\epsilon.$$

We may take the inner product of both sides of the equation with ∇r_ϵ and integrate to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\int (hr_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int |\nabla r_\epsilon|^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int v_\epsilon \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int |\nabla r_\epsilon|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int \nabla v_\epsilon \cdot r_\epsilon \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int |\nabla r_\epsilon|^2\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

We can conclude from (4.3) and (4.4) that both $\int (hr_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon$ and $\int |\nabla r_\epsilon|^2$ are zero. This along with the constraint $\int r_\epsilon = 0$ implies the result. \square

We note here that there are other simple proofs that $\int (hr_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon = 0$, including a dynamical argument. To see this, note that $(hr_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon = (h \cdot \nabla r_\epsilon)r_\epsilon$ is in fact the directional derivative of r^2 along the vector field h . If we integrate this expression along a trajectory, ergodicity and the assumption that r_ϵ is bounded imply the claim.

The implications for stochastic stability are the following:

Theorem 4.1 *If h preserves volume, then the volume is the unique zero-noise limit under any homogeneous diffusion. If volume is ergodic, then it is stochastically stable with respect to homogeneous diffusion.*

5 Discussion of non-smooth invariant densities

In general, it is rare for μ_0 to have a smooth density, rather, it is usually supported on an attractor which has dimension less than that of the ambient space. Further complications include that the physical measure μ_0 will not be the unique ergodic measure supported on the attractor.

If the method could be generalized it might be as follows. The Liouville equation must be considered as acting on distributions. The densities for the SDE (1.2) however remain smooth. With these considerations, (1.8) cannot be the right assumption. Rather, we need to show something of the form

$$\rho_\epsilon = A_\epsilon \rho_0,$$

where A_ϵ is a smoothing operator that acts on distributions and approaches the identity as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. It is natural to assume then is that A_ϵ is itself generated by a diffusion, i.e.

$$A_\epsilon = e^{\epsilon L^*}$$

where $L = \hat{\Gamma}(x)\Delta$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ must be determined. In this circumstance, with standard diffusion as the class of perturbation, $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon^* \rho_\epsilon = 0$ becomes:

$$\nabla \cdot h(A_\epsilon \rho_0) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \Delta(A_\epsilon \rho_0),$$

and the problem is to find an appropriate $\hat{\Gamma}(x)$.

Acknowledgment: The authors wish to thank Martin Hairer for pointing out important references and making several helpful comments.

References

- [1] V. Araújo and A. Tahzibi, Stochastic stability at the boundary of expanding maps, *Nonlinearity* **18** (2005), 939958
- [2] V. Baladi and M. Viana, Strong stochastic stability and rate of mixing for unimodal maps. *Ann. Scient. ENS* **29** (1996), 483-517.
- [3] M. Bendicks and L.S. Young, Absolutely continuous invariant measures and random perturbations for certain 1-d maps. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Systems* **12** (1992), 13-37.
- [4] S. Bernstein, Sur l'équation différentiel de Fokker-Planck, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **196** (1933), 1062-1064.
- [5] H. Brézis, *Analyse Fonctionnelle, Théorie et Applications*, Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [6] W. Cowieson and L.S. Young, SRB measures as zero-noise limits, *Erg. Th. Dynam. Systems* **25** (2005), no. 4, 1115-1138
- [7] J.L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1953.
- [8] K.D. Elworthy, Geometric aspects of diffusions on manifolds. École d'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XV-XVII, 1985-87, 277-425, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1362**, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [9] B. Franke, Integral inequalities for the fundamental solutions of diffusions on manifolds with divergence-free drift, *Math. Z.* **246** (2004), 373-403.
- [10] M. Freidlin, The averaging principle and theorems on large deviations, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **33** (1978), no. 5(203), 107-160, 238.
- [11] M. Freidlin, A. Wentzell, *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*. Translated from the Russian by Joseph Szcs. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **260**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [12] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North-Holland, New York, 2nd ed., 1989.
- [13] Y. Kifer, General random perturbations of hyperbolic and expanding transformations. *J. Analyse Math.* **47** (1986), 111-150.
- [14] Y. Kifer, *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*, Birkhauser, 1988.

- [15] Y. Kifer, A discrete-time version of the Wentzell-Freidlin theory. *Ann. Probab.* **18** (1990), 1676–1692.
- [16] Y. Kifer, Random perturbations of dynamical systems: a new approach. *Mathematics of random media (Blacksburg, VA, 1989)*, 163–173, Lectures in Appl. Math., **27**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [17] L. Pontryagin, A. Andronov and A. Vitt, On the statistical treatment of dynamical systems. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.*, **3** (1933), 165–80. Translated in *Noise in Nonlinear Dynamical Systems, v1, Theory of continuous Fokker-Planck systems*, F. Moss and P. McClintock eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [18] L.S. Young, Stochastic stability of hyperbolic attractors. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **6** (1986), 311–319.